

1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11131 MITCHINE BIAD. SILE 207 108 9 10 11 12 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 1 1 1	NORTHERN D SAN FR. AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, vs. YAHOO!, INC., a Delaware Corporation, Defendant. Plaintiff Augme Technologies, In (collectively, the "Parties") through this S Rule 6.2, to enlarge the time for the oppor judgment of non-infringement based on o Stipulation. WHEREAS, on November 12, 20	o. 217822) ear No. 270227) vice)
28		

Case 3:09-cv-05386-JCS Document 81 Filed 12/01/10 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	2
	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
-9047	12
AW. COM	13
M@SW-L	14
020-00/ LAWFIRI	15
(016)	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

WHEREAS, on November 22, 2010, Yahoo! filed its Administrative Motion to Extend the Case Management Schedule in This Case Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-2(D) and 7-11 ("Administrative Motion");

WHEREAS, on November 24, 2010, Augme filed its Opposition to Yahoo!'s Administrative Motion and Cross Motion to Extend the Hearing Date for Yahoo!'s Motion for Summary Judgment ("Cross Motion");

WHEREAS, Augme's Opposition to Yahoo's Motion for Summary Judgment is due forthwith and may have to be filed before the Court rules on Augme's Cross Motion;

WHEREAS, previously, the parties jointly requested two one-week extensions of the deadline for exchanging Prelminary Claim Constructions, and a three-week extension of the deadline for filing the Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement;

WHEREAS, this stipulation will have no effect upon the hearing date or the pending Administrative Motion or Cross Motion;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval, that:

- 1. The deadline for the filing of Augme's Opposition to Yahoo!'s Motion for Summary Judgment shall be extended to December 1, 2010.
- 2. The deadline for the filing of Yahoo!'s Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment shall be extended to December 10, 2010.

	Dated: November 29, 2010	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1		
2		By: /s/ Daniel P. Muino Daniel P. Muino
3		
4		Attorneys for Defendant YAHOO! INC.
5		
6	Dated: November 29, 2010	SHAUB & WILLIAMS LLP
7		
8		By: /s/ David R. Shaub David R. Shaub
9		
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
11		
\$8042		
12 INILLIAMS LLP IIRE BLVD. STE 2 SILES CA, 90025 FAX (310) 826- GSW-LAW.COM	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
SHAUB 8 21 WILSI LOS ANG 826-6678 LAWFIRM		STATES DISTRICT CO
SH, 12121 1013 (310) 824 (310) 825 (310) 826	Date: November 30 , 2010	
17		O Spero VIN
18		Judge Joseph C. Spero
19		United States Magistrate Judge
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2 CENTRAL ATTACAN